UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

ORIGINAL

In the Matter of		
)	PUBLIC
Otto Bock Healthcare North America, Inc.,)	
a corporation,)	Docket No. 9378
)	
Respondent.)	
)	
	_)	

NON-PARTY PROTEOR, INC.'S COMBINED MOTION FOR IN CAMERA TREATMENT

Pursuant to Rule 3.45 of the Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b), non-party Proteor, Inc. ("Proteor") respectfully moves this Court for *in camera* treatment of four competitively-sensitive, confidential business documents (the "Confidential Documents"). Proteor produced these, and other, documents, in response to two third-party subpoenas in this matter. The issuers of those two third-party subpoenas, The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), and Otto Bock Healthcare North America, Inc. ("OttoBock"), notified Proteor that they intend to introduce the Confidential Documents into evidence at the administrative trial in this matter. *See* Letter from the FTC dated May 24, 2018 (attached as Exhibit A) and Letter from Otto Bock dated May 29, 2018 (attached as Exhibit B).

The Confidential Documents are secret and material to the business of Proteor, such that if they were to become part of the public record, Proteor would be significantly harmed

in its ability to compete in prosthetics and orthotics industry. For the reasons discussed in this motion, Proteor requests that this Court afford the Confidential Documents *in camera* treatment. In support of this motion, Proteor relies on the Declaration of Frédéric Desprez ("Desprez Declaration") (attached as <u>Exhibit C</u>), which provides additional details on the Confidential Documents.

I. Confidential Documents.

Proteor seeks in camera treatment for the following Confidential Documents, copies of which are attached to this motion as Exhibit D:

<u>FTC</u>						
Exhibit No.	Description	<u>Date</u>	<u>BegBates</u>	EndBates		
PX05161	Deposition (Brad Mattear)	04/06/2018	PX05161-001	PX05161-070		
		Otto Bock				
Exhibit No. RX-0533	Description US Project/ Sept 2017	<u>Date</u> 09/01/2017	Beg Bates 0000168	EndBates 0000175		
RX-0678	Presentation	11/28/2017	0000316	0000332		
RX-1029 ¹	Deposition (Brad Mattear)	04/06/2018	RX-1029-00001	RX-1029- 000070		

¹ FTC Exhibit No. PX05161 and Otto Bock Exhibit No. RX-1029 are the same document – the Deposition of Brad Mattear (from Proteor, Inc.). The Deposition is attached only once to this motion.

II. 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b) Standard.

An Administrative Law Judge may appropriately order that material offered into evidence be placed *in camera* when finding that its public disclosure "will likely result in a clearly defined, serious injury to the person, partnership or corporation requesting *in camera* treatment..." 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b). To show a clearly defined, serious injury, a movant "must make a clear showing that the information concerned is sufficiently secret and sufficiently material to their business that disclosure would result in serious competitive injury." *In re General Foods Corp.*, 95 F.T.C. 352, 355 (1980). In considering both secrecy and materiality, the Court may consider: (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of the business; (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in the business; (3) the extent of measures taken to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the value of the information to the business and its competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended in developing the information; and (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be acquired or duplicated by others. *In re Bristol- Myers Co.*, 90 F.T.C. 455, 456-457(1977)

III. The Confidential Documents are Secret and Material such that Disclosure Would Result in Serious Injury to Proteor.

As set forth in the Desprez Declaration, the Confidential Documents are both secret and material to Proteor's business. In sum, the Confidential Documents contain information detailing Proteor's (i) future strategic business plans in the United States including identifying projects and products currently being developed by Proteor; (ii) pricing information related to the sales of Proteor products to customers; and (iii) past, current, and anticipated future profits

(the "Proprietary Information"). Desprez Declaration at ¶ 5. Regarding secrecy, the Proprietary Information is not known outside of Proteor other than by those few businesses with whom Proteor is working to carry out clinical studies. Desprez Declaration at ¶ 6. Within Proteor, the Proprietary Information is known only by high ranking executives. Desprez Declaration at ¶ 7. Proteor has exerted significant effort to maintain the secrecy of the Proprietary Information by having the businesses carrying out clinical studies sign confidentiality agreements before Proteor discloses any Proprietary Information. Desprez Declaration at ¶ 8. Proteor also marked the Confidential Documents as "Confidential" when disclosing them to the FTC and Otto Bock pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this case dated December 20, 2017. Declaration at ¶ 9. Finally, Proteor is now filing this motion for *in camera* treatment for the Confidential Documents. Declaration at ¶ 10.

Regarding materiality, Proteor has [] Declaration at ¶ 11. Such investment is significant for Proteor given Proteor's share of the market in the United States. Declaration at ¶ 12. Public disclosure of the Proprietary Information would jeopardize Proteor's significant investment in research and development of the [] In particular, public disclosure of the Proprietary Information may cause competitors to develop and release similar products before Proteor's anticipated launch date resulting in lost market share and revenues. Declaration at ¶ 13. Competitors could not get a complete picture of Proteor's strategic business plans without disclosure of the Proprietary Information. Declaration at ¶ 14.

Proteor's potential loss of a competitive business advantage "is a good example of a clearly defined, serious injury." *See In re Dura Lube Corp.*, 1999 F.T.C. LEXIS 255, *7 (1999). Moreover, Proteor is a third-party subpoena respondent in this case. Such third-party status deserves "special solicitude" in requests for in camera treatment of competitively-sensitive, confidential business information. *See In re Kaiser Aluminum & Chem. Corp.*, 103 FTC 500, 500 (1984) (Granting in camera treatment with respect to third-party subpoena respondents, "encourages cooperation with future adjudicative discovery requests."). For all of the foregoing reasons, in camera treatment of the Confidential Documents is warranted in this case.

IV. Duration of In Camera Treatment.

In determining the length of time for which *in camera* treatment is appropriate, the distinction between trade secrets and ordinary business records is important because ordinary business records are granted less protection than trade secrets. *In re H. P. Hood & Sons, Inc.*, 58 F.T.C. 1184, 1189 (1961). Trade secrets are primarily limited to secret formulas, processes, and other secret technical information. *Id.* Ordinary business records, on the other hand, include names of customers, prices to certain customers, costs of doing business, profits, business plans, and marketing plans. *See Hood*, 1961 FTC LEXIS 368, at *13; *In re McWane, Inc.*, 2012 FTC LEXIS 143 (2012); *In re Int'l Ass'n of Conference Interpreters*, 1996 FTC LEXIS 298, at *13-14 (1996). Where *in camera* treatment is granted for ordinary business records, it is typically provided for two to five years. *McWane, Inc.*, 2012 FTC LEXIS 143; *In re ProMedica Health Sys.*, 2011 FTC LEXIS 101 (2011).

PUBLIC

As mentioned above, the Proprietary Information includes (i) future strategic business

plans in the United States including identifying projects and products currently being

developed by Proteor; (ii) pricing information related to the sales of Proteor products to

customers; and (iii) current and anticipated future profits. This information is akin to that

deemed "ordinary business records" by Courts. Thus, Proteor seeks in camera treatment for

the Confidential Documents in their entirety for a period of five years.

V. Conclusion.

For the foregoing reasons, and those set forth in the Desprez Declaration, Proteor

respectfully requests that this Court grant this motion for in camera treatment for the Confidential

Documents in their entirety for a period of five years.

Dated: June 8, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Nathaniel T. Cutler

Nathaniel T. Cutler

THORELLI & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Three First National Plaza

70 West Madison St. Ste. 5750

Chicago, IL 60602

(312) 357-0300

Counsel for Proteor, Inc.

6

RULE 3.22(G) STATEMENT

The undersigned counsel for Proteor, Inc., certifies that he spoke with FTC counsel, William Cooke, on May 24, 2018 via telephone and spoke with Otto Bock counsel, Theresa A. Langschultz, of Duane Morris LLP, on June 5, 2018 via telephone and email. Both Mr. Cooke and Ms. Langschultz stated that they would not object to Proteor's motion.

Dated: June 15, 2018

By: /s/ Nathaniel T. Cutler
Nathaniel T. Cutler
THORELLI & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Three First National Plaza
70 West Madison St. Ste. 5750
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 357-0300
Counsel for Proteor, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Combined Motion for In Camera Treatment and Proposed Order were, on June 15th, 2018, served on the following parties via the FTC E-Filing System:

The Office of the Administrative Law Judge D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room H-106 Washington, D.C. 20580

The Office of the Secretary Donald S. Clark Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.,

Room H-172

Washington, D.C. 20580

Steve Lavender

William Cooke

Yan Gao

Lynda Lao

Stephen Mohr

Michael Moiseyev

James Weiss

Daniel Zach

Amy Posner

Meghan Iorianni

Jonathan Ripa

Lisa De Marchi Sleigh

Catherine Sanchez

Sarah Wohl

Joseph Neely

Dylan Brown

Betty McNeil

Stephen Rodger

Federal Trade Commission

600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.,

Room H-172

Washington, D.C. 20580

Wayne A. Mack
Edward G. Biester III
Sean P. McConnell
Sarah Kulik
William Shotzbarger
Sean Zabaneh
Christopher H. Casey
Simeon Poles
Andrew Rudowitz
J. Manly Parks
Kelly Eckel
Theresa A. Langschultz
Duane Morris, LLP
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

/s/ Nathaniel T. Cutler Thorelli & Associates, P.C.

EXHIBIT A



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Federal Trade Commission WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

May 24, 2018

VIA EMAIL

Proteor, Inc. c/o Nathaniel T. Cutler, Esq. Thorelli & Associates 70 West Madison Street, Suite 5750 Chicago, Illinois 60602

RE: <u>In the Matter of Otto Bock HealthCare North America, Inc.</u>, Federal Trade Commission Dkt. No. 9378

Dear Mr. Cutler,

By this letter we are providing formal notice, pursuant to Rule 3.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b), that Complaint Counsel intend to offer the documents and testimony referenced in the enclosed Attachment A into evidence in the administrative trial in the above-captioned matter. The administrative trial is scheduled to begin on July 10, 2018. All exhibits admitted into evidence become part of the public record unless *in camera* status is granted by Administrative Law Judge D. Michael Chappell.

For documents or testimony which include sensitive or confidential information that you do not want on the public record, you must file a motion seeking *in camera* status or other confidentiality protections pursuant to 16 C.F.R §§ 3.45, 4.10(g). Judge Chappell may order that materials, whether admitted or rejected as evidence, be placed *in camera* only after finding that their public disclosure will likely result in a clearly defined, serious injury to the person, partnership, or corporation requesting *in camera* treatment.

Motions for *in camera* treatment for evidence to be introduced at trial must meet the strict standards set forth in 16 C.F.R. § 3.45 and explained in *In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc.*, 2017 FTC LEXIS 55 (April 4, 2017); *In re Jerk, LLC*, 2015 FTC LEXIS 39 (Feb. 23, 2015); and *In re Basic Research, Inc.*, 2006 FTC LEXIS 14 (Jan. 25, 2006). Motions also must be supported by a declaration or affidavit by a person qualified to explain the confidential nature of the documents. *In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc.*, 2017 FTC LEXIS 55 (April 4, 2017); *In re North Texas Specialty Physicians*, 2004 FTC LEXIS 66 (April 23, 2004). You must also provide one copy of the documents for which *in camera* treatment is sought to the Administrative Law Judge.

Please be aware that under the current Scheduling Order dated April 26, 2018, the deadline for filing motions seeking *in camera* status is June 11, 2018.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (202) 326-2331.

Sincerely,

William Cooke

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

Attachment A

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	BegBates	EndBates
PX03228	Nabtesco Document: Distributorship Agreement	3/24/2016	Nabtesco & Proteor0000180	Nabtesco & Proteor0000201
PX03229	Nabtesco Spreadsheet: Sales by Item Detail	01/01/2015 - 03/20/2018		Nabtesco & Proteor0000222
PX03285	Nabtesco Document: Distributorship Agreement	3/24/2016	PX03285-001	PX03285-022
PX05161	Deposition Transcript of Brad Mattear (Proteor, Inc.)	4/6/2018	PX05161-001	PX05161-070

EXHIBIT B

NEW YORK
LONDON
SINGAPORE
PHILADELPHIA
CHICAGO
WASHINGTON, DC
SAN FRANCISCO
SILICON VALLEY
SAN DIEGO
LOS ANGELES
TAIWAN
BOSTON
HOUSTON
AUSTIN



FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES

SARAH O'LAUGHLIN KULIK DIRECT DIAL: +1 215 979 1812 PERSONAL FAX: +1 215 689 1419 E-MAIL: SCKULIK@DUANEMORRIS.COM

www.duanemorris.com

SHANGHAI
ATLANTA
BALTIMORE
WILMINGTON
MIAMI
BOCA RATON
PITTSBURGH
NEWARK
LAS VEGAS
CHERRY HILL
LAKE TAHOE
MYANMAR
OMAN
A GCC REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE
OF DUANE MORRIS

ALLIANCES IN MEXICO AND SRI LANKA

May 29, 2018

HANOI HO CHI MINH CITY

VIA EMAIL AND OVERNIGHT COURIER

Proteor, Inc. (d/b/a Nabtesco and Proteor USA) c/o Nathaniel T. Cutler Thorelli & Associates 70 West Madison Street, Suite 5750 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Nathaniel@Thorelli.com

> Re: <u>In the Matter of Otto Bock HealthCare North America, Inc., Federal Trade</u> Commission Dkt. No. 9378

Dear Mr. Cutler,

By this letter, we are providing formal notice, pursuant to Rule 3.45(b) of the Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b), that Respondent Counsel intend to offer the documents and testimony referenced in the enclosed Attachment A into evidence in the administrative trial in the above-captioned matter. The administrative trial is scheduled to begin on July 10, 2018. All exhibits admitted into evidence become part of the public record unless *in camera* status is granted by Administrative Law Judge D. Michael Chappell.

For documents or testimony which include sensitive or confidential information that you do not want on the public record, you must file a motion seeking in camera status or other confidentiality protections pursuant to 16 C.F.R §§ 3.45, 4.10(g). Judge Chappell may order that materials, whether admitted or rejected as evidence, be placed *in camera* only after finding that their public disclosure will likely result in a clearly defined, serious injury to the person, partnership, or corporation requesting *in camera* treatment.

Motions for *in camera* treatment for evidence to be introduced at trial must meet the strict standards set forth in 16 C.F.R. § 3.45 and explained in *In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc.*, 2017 FTC LEXIS 55 (April 4, 2017); *In re Jerk, LLC*, 2015 FTC LEXIS 39 (Feb. 23, 2015); and *In re Basic*



May 29, 2018 Page 2

Research, Inc., 2006 FTC LEXIS 14 (Jan. 25, 2006). Motions also must be supported by a declaration or affidavit by a person qualified to explain the confidential nature of the documents. In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc., 2017 FTC LEXIS 55 (April 4, 2017); In re North Texas Specialty Physicians, 2004 FTC LEXIS 66 (April 23, 2004). You must also provide one copy of the documents for which in camera treatment is sought to the Administrative Law Judge.

Please be aware that under the current Scheduling Order dated April 26, 2018, the deadline for filing motions seeking *in camera* status is June 11, 2018.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 215-979-1812.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Sarah O'Laughlin Kulik

Sarah O'Laughlin Kulik

TAL Enclosures

cc: Sean S. Zabaneh

Sean P. McConnell

Attachment A

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	BegBates	EndBates
RX-1029	Deposition Transcript of Brad Mattear (Proteor, Inc., dba Nabtesco & Proteor in USA)	4/6/2018	RX-1029-00001	RX-1029-00070
RX-0026	MPK Sales 2015-2018 YTD Spreadsheet	01/01/2015	Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &			Proteor0000222	Proteor0000222
Proteor0000222)				
RX-0167	Confidential - Distributorship Agreement by and	03/24/2016	Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	between Nabtesco Corporation and Proteor Inc.		Proteor0000261	Proteor0000282
Proteor0000261)	N. I. D. I. HOA. V. D. LO N.	0.1/0.1/0.0.1=		
RX-0345	Nabtesco Proteor USA - Your Road Companion News -	01/01/2017	Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	USA 2017		Proteor0000105	Proteor0000112
Proteor0000105)	Nebtaga Brotaga IICA - Vaus Band Carananian Nava	04/04/0047	NI-lata 0	Nieleteeee
RX-0346	Nabtesco Proteor USA - Your Road Companion News - USA 2017	01/01/2017	Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco & Proteor0000156)	03A 2017		Proteor0000156	Proteor0000157
RX-0436	Nabtesco - Information as to Full Launch Model for NE-	06/01/2017	Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	Z4(SH) ALLUX	06/01/2017	Proteor0000083	Proteor0000083
Proteor0000083)	Z4(SII) ALLOX		Proteoroooooo	Proteoroooooo
RX-0533	US Project - Nabtesco & Proteor USA Sept 2017 - F.	09/01/2017	Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	Desprez	09/01/2017	Proteor0000168	Proteor0000175
Proteor0000168)			1 1010010000100	1 1010010000 170
RX-0678	Presentation - Nabtesco & Proteor US Project - F.	11/28/2017	Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	Desprez	11/20/2011	Proteor0000316	Proteor0000332
Proteor0000316)	·			
RX-0894	Nabtesco - Hanger Clinic - Allux - Four Bar MPK -		Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	Functional Knee Solutions - Hanger Education Fair –		Proteor0000084	Proteor0000102
Proteor0000084)	Presentation			
RX-0895	hyTreK Single Axis Hydraulic Knee Production		Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	Information		Proteor0000120	Proteor0000123
Proteor0000120)				
RX-0896	Proteor - Current Business Structure; Proposed New		Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	Business Structure Plan (3Q 2018)		Proteor0000177	Proteor0000178
Proteor0000177)				
RX-0897	Nabtesco Corporation - NK6 Symphony Specifications		Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &			Proteor0000351	Proteor0000352
Proteor0000351)	N. 1. 10. 11. 12. 13. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15			
RX-0898	Nabtesco Proteor USA Manufacturer/Product Guide		Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &			Proteor0000353	Proteor0000358
Proteor0000353)				
RX-0899	Information Chart: Product/Country/Prices for		Nabtesco &	Nabtesco &
(Nabtesco &	CPOs/LCode or Reimbursement Codes		Proteor0000407	Proteor0000408
Proteor0000407)				

EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of)	
Otto Bock Healthcare North America, Inc., a corporation,))	Docket No. 9378
Respondent.)	
)	

DECLARATION OF FRÉDÉRIC DESPREZ

- I, Frédéric Desprez, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, state as follows:
- 1. I am over eighteen years of age, make this Declaration on my personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I would testify to the facts set forth herein.
- 2. I am the Commercial Director and Vice President of Operations for Proteor, Inc. ("Proteor"), non-party movant in the above-entitled action.
- 3. Proteor received two third-party subpoenas in the above entitled action one from the FTC and one from Otto Bock.
- 4. Proteor provided certain documents to the FTC and Otto Bock in response to the two subpoenas, including four competitively-sensitive, confidential business documents (the "Confidential Documents").
- 5. The Confidential Documents contain information detailing Proteor's (i) future strategic business plans in the United States including identifying projects and products

currently being developed by Proteor; (ii) pricing information related to the sales of Proteor products to customers; and (iii) past, current, and anticipated future profits (the "Proprietary Information").

- 6. The Proprietary Information is not known outside of Proteor other than by those few businesses with whom Proteor is working to carry out clinical studies.
- 7. Within Proteor, the Proprietary Information is known only by high ranking executives.
- 8. Proteor has exerted significant effort to maintain the secrecy of the Proprietary Information by having the businesses carrying out clinical studies sign confidentiality agreements before Proteor discloses any Proprietary Information.
- 9. Proteor also marked the Confidential Documents as "Confidential" when disclosing them to the FTC and Otto Bock pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this case dated December 20, 2017.
- 10. Finally, Proteor is now filing this motion for *in camera* treatment for the Confidential Documents.
 - 11. [
- 12. Such investment is significant for Proteor given Proteor's share of the market in the United States.
- 13. Public disclosure of the Proprietary Information may cause competitors to develop and release similar products before Proteor's anticipated launch date resulting in lost market share

and revenues.

14. Competitors could not get a complete picture of Proteor's strategic business plans without disclosure of the Proprietary Information.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 8th day of June 2018, in France.

By:

/s/ Frédéric Desprez

Frédéric Desprez

EXHIBIT D [ENTIRELY REDACTED]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of)	
Otto Bock Healthcare North America, Inc., a corporation,)	Docket No. 9378
Respondent.))	2 0 0 1 (0 1) 3 / 0
) _)	

PROPOSED ORDER

Upon consideration of Non-Party Proteor, Inc.'s ("Proteor") Combined Motion for *In Camera* Treatment, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the following documents are to be provided *in camera* treatment in their entirety for a period of five years from the date of this Order:

<u>FTC</u>						
Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>BegBates</u>	EndBates		
PX05161	Deposition (Brad Mattear)	04/06/2018	PX05161-001	PX05161-070		
		Otto Bock				
Exhibit No. RX-0533	Description US Project/ Sept 2017	<u>Date</u> 09/01/2017	Beg Bates 0000168	EndBates 0000175		

RX-0678	Presentation	11/28/2017	0000316	0000332
RX-1029	Deposition (Brad Mattear)	04/06/2018	RX-1029-00001	RX-1029- 000070
ORDERED:			ichael Chappell Administrative Law	 Judge
Date:				