UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSIO OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

PUBLIC
PUBLIC
TRADE COMMISSION
NO 17 2018
592234
SECRETARY

In the Matter of

Otto Bock HealthCare North America, Inc., a corporation. Docket No. 9378

ORIGINAL

RESPONDENT'S REPLY¹ SUPPORTING ITS
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS EXHIBIT LIST AND TO ADMIT INTO
EVIDENCE CERTAIN ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS

¹ Respondent submits this Reply pursuant to the Court's September 6, 2018 order from the bench.

Nothing in the Opposition to Respondent, Otto Bock HealthCare North America, Inc.'s
("Ottobock") Motion shows why good cause does not exist
Ottobock is
not attempting "to extend a deadline" as Complaint Counsel inaccurately contends. Opp. at 1.
Instead, good cause exists for Ottobock to amend its exhibit list
Complaint
Counsel attempts to paint a picture where Ottobock blew a deadline
Nothing could be further from the
truth.
As the Court has recognized,

Of course, the Scheduling Order specifically allows for the addition of exhibits after the
parties' final exhibit lists have been submitted. Scheduling Order, ¶ 16. As set forth in
Ottobock's opening brief at 2-4,
Ottobook's opening offer at 2-4,
Complaint Counsel's reliance on <i>Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V.</i> is misplaced.
There, this Court decided whether Complaint Counsel could call certain witnesses to testify at
trial after Complaint Counsel did not timely disclose them. Opp. Exh. B at 1. The reason for the
late disclosure was a late document production from Respondents. <i>Id.</i> at 2.
Here, Ottobock seeks leave to amend its exhibit list
Hence, good cause exists to
allow Ottobock to amend its final exhibit list.
Additional discovery is not needed for Complaint Counsel

On the one hand, Complaint Counsel complains it is prejudiced because

What is more, Complaint Counsel has opened the door to evidence
and good cause exists to add them to Ottobock's exhibit list and
admit them into evidence. Complaint Counsel has failed to show that it would be prejudiced by
admission
Conclusion
For the foregoing reasons, and those set forth in the opening brief, Ottobock respectfully
requests that the Court grant leave to amend its exhibit list and admit into
evidence.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 17, 2018 /s/ William Shotzbarger

Wayne A. Mack

Edward G. Biester III

Sean S. Zabaneh

Sean P. McConnell

Sarah Kulik

William Shotzbarger Andrew J. Rudowitz

DUANE MORRIS LLP

30 S. 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 979-1000

Fax: (215) 979-1020

WAMack@duanemorris.com EGBiester@duanemorris.com SSZabaneh@duanemorris.com SPMcConnell@duanemorris.com SCKulik@duanemorris.com

WShotzhorgor@duonomorris.com

WShotzbarger@duanemorris.com AJRudowitz@duanemorris.com

Counsel for Respondent Otto Bock HealthCare North America, Inc.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In	the	Matter	Λf
	1116	VIALLEL	

Otto Bock HealthCare North America, Inc., a corporation. Docket No. 9378

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM SHOTZBARGER IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT'S REPLY SUPPORTING ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS EXHIBIT LIST AND TO ADMIT INTO EVIDENCE CERTAIN ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS

I, William Shotzbarger, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, state and declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at Duane Morris LLP. I am licensed to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. I am over the age of 18, am capable of making this Declaration, know all of the following facts of my own personal knowledge, and, if called and sworn as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto.

2.	Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of
3.	Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of
4.	Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of
5.	Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 17th day of September, 2018 in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

/s/ William Shotzbarger
William Shotzbarger

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT D

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 17, 2018, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Respondent's Reply Supporting Its Motion for Leave to Amend Respondent's Exhibit List and to Admit into Evidence Certain Additional Exhibits to be served via the FTC E-Filing System and e-mail upon the following:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Rm. H-110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald S. Clark Federal Trade Commission Office of the Secretary 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20580

Meghan Iorianni Jonathan Ripa Steven Lavender William Cooke Yan Gao Lynda Lao Stephen Mohr Michael Moiseyev James Weiss Daniel Zach Lisa De Marchi Sleigh Catherine Sanchez Sarah Wohl Joseph Neely Dylan Brown Betty McNeil

Stephen Rodger Jordan Andrew Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC, 20580

> /s/ William Shotzbarger William Shotzbarger

Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on September 17, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Public - Respondent's Reply Supporting Its Motion for Leave to Amend Respondent's Exhibit List and to Admit into Evidence Certain Additional Exhibits, with:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 172 Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on September 17, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Public - Respondent's Reply Supporting Its Motion for Leave to Amend Respondent's Exhibit List and to Admit into Evidence Certain Additional Exhibits, upon:

Steven Lavender Attorney Federal Trade Commission slavender@ftc.gov Complaint

William Cooke Attorney Federal Trade Commission wcooke@ftc.gov Complaint

Yan Gao Attorney Federal Trade Commission ygao@ftc.gov Complaint

Lynda Lao Attorney Federal Trade Commission llao1@ftc.gov Complaint

Stephen Mohr Attorney Federal Trade Commission smohr@ftc.gov Complaint

Michael Moiseyev Attorney Federal Trade Commission mmoiseyev@ftc.gov Complaint

James Weiss Attorney Federal Trade Commission jweiss@ftc.gov Complaint

Daniel Zach Attorney Federal Trade Commission dzach@ftc.gov Complaint

Amy Posner Attorney Federal Trade Commission aposner@ftc.gov Complaint

Meghan Iorianni Attorney Federal Trade Commission miorianni@ftc.gov Complaint

Jonathan Ripa Attorney Federal Trade Commission jripa@ftc.gov Complaint

Wayne A. Mack Duane Morris LLP wamack@duanemorris.com Respondent

Edward G. Biester III Duane Morris LLP egbiester@duanemorris.com Respondent

Sean P. McConnell Duane Morris LLP spmcconnell@duanemorris.com Respondent

Sarah Kulik Duane Morris LLP sckulik@duanemorris.com Respondent

William Shotzbarger Duane Morris LLP wshotzbarger@duanemorris.com Respondent

Lisa De Marchi Sleigh Attorney Federal Trade Commission Idemarchisleigh@ftc.gov Complaint Catherine Sanchez Attorney Federal Trade Commission csanchez@ftc.gov Complaint

Sarah Wohl Attorney Federal Trade Commission swohl@ftc.gov Complaint

Joseph Neely Attorney Federal Trade Commission jneely@ftc.gov Complaint

Sean Zabaneh Duane Morris LLP SSZabaneh@duanemorris.com Respondent

Dylan Brown Attorney Federal Trade Commission dbrown4@ftc.gov Complaint

Betty McNeil Attorney Federal Trade Commission bmcneil@ftc.gov Complaint

Stephen Rodger Attorney Federal Trade Commission srodger@ftc.gov Complaint

Christopher H. Casey Partner Duane Morris LLP chcasey@duanemorris.com Respondent

Simeon Poles Duane Morris LLP sspoles@duanemorris.com Respondent

Andrew Rudowitz Duane Morris LLP ajrudowitz@duanemorris.com Respondent

J. Manly Parks Attorney Duane Morris LLP JMParks@duanemorris.com Respondent

Jordan Andrew Attorney Federal Trade Commission jandrew@ftc.gov Complaint

Kelly Eckel Duane Morris LLP KDEckel@duanemorris.com Respondent

Theresa A. Langschultz Duane Morris LLP TLangschultz@duanemorris.com Respondent

 $\frac{William\ Shotzbarger}{Attorney}$