UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

O2 23 2015
576396

In the Matter of	<u> </u>	PUBLIC	Cran
in the Matter of	,		SECRETARY
)	- 1	ODIOMA
LabMD, Inc.,)	Docket No. 93	ORIGINAL
a corporation,)		and the
Respondent.)		

NON-PARTY RICHARD WALLACE'S MOTION FOR A SHORT CONTINUANCE

Coursel for Richard Wallace, a non- party witness in this action, respectfully moves the Court, pursuant to Rule 3.21(c)(2) and 3.22 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, for a brief, two-week continuance of the trial of this action.

It is our understanding that trial will resume on March 3, 2015, with the direct examination of Mr. Wallace, followed by Complaint Counsel's two-hour deposition, both pursuant to a Rule 3.39(b)(2) grant of immunity. In order to adequately prepare Mr. Wallace for his testimony in this complex matter, given the gravity of his testimony pursuant to Rule 3.39 immunity and other pending client matters, counsel respectfully requests a two week continuance of the March 3, 2015 trial date or such continuance as the Court's calendar may allow.

Background

Mr. Wallace was subpoenaed to testify at the trial of this matter, but his testimony was delayed in order to obtain immunity pursuant to Rule 3.39(b)(2). (See Order on Complaint Counsel's Motion for Leave, December 8, 2014 ("Order")). Pursuant to the Order, Complaint Counsel served Mr. Wallace with a deposition subpoena and a subpoena duces tecum ("Subpoena"). Counsel for Mr. Wallace, only recently retained in this action, has worked diligently to obtain and provide the documents subpoenaed by Complaint Counsel. (See, e.g.,

Complaint Counsel's Motion to Compel and Withdrawal of Motion to Compel). This process has required substantial time and effort.

Request for Continuance

Counsel for Mr. Wallace is preparing Mr. Wallace to testify at the trial of this action. Among other things, this preparation includes review of extensive background materials relating to this action and meeting with Mr. Wallace to discuss the allegations contained therein, as well as responding to other ancillary matters related to Mr. Wallace's testimony. In light of the complexity of this matter, the geographic distance between client and counsel, and the gravity of Mr. Wallace's testimony pursuant to Rule 3.39(b)(2), counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare. Mr. Wallace has not previously requested a continuance of the trial or his deposition. Further, lead counsel, Mary Beth Buchanan, is required at a hearing in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00776-MMB ("Pennsylvania Matter"), the day before the planned trial of this matter.

Counsel requests only a brief continuance of two weeks, from March 3 until March 17, or such time as the Court's calendar may allow. Counsel has conferred with Complaint Counsel and Respondent's Counsel, and both have indicated that they do not object to the requested continuance. Moreover, counsel for the parties believe that Mr. Wallace's testimony and deposition in this matter can be completed in less than two days.

1846308.1

¹ Mr. Wallace resides in Pennsylvania, while counsel resides in New York.

² Counsel for Mr. Wallace recognizes that the hearing in the Pennsylvania Matter was only recently scheduled, but it was ordered pursuant to a Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order.

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, counsel respectfully requests the Court to adjourn the trial of this action and Mr. Wallace's appearance for deposition until March 17, 2015, or such time as the Court's calendar may allow.

Respectfully submitted, this 20th day of February 2015.

/s/ Jacquelyn N. Schell

By: Mary Beth Buchanan
PA ID No. 50254
By: Jacquelyn N. Schell
DC Bar No. 1019739
BRYAN CAVE LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104
212-541-2000
MBuchanan@BryanCave.com
Jacquelyn.Schell@BryanCave.com

Notice of Electronic Service for Public Filings

I hereby certify that on February 20, 2015, I filed via hand a paper original and electronic copy of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, with:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 172 Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on February 20, 2015, I filed via E-Service of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, with:

John Krebs Attorney Federal Trade Commission jkrebs@ftc.gov Complaint

Hallee Morgan Cause of Action hallee.morgan@causeofaction.org Respondent

Jarad Brown Attorney Federal Trade Commission jbrown4@ftc.gov Complaint

Kent Huntington Counsel Cause of Action kent.huntington@causeofaction.org Respondent

Sunni Harris Esq. Dinsmore & Shohl LLP sunni.harris@dinsmore.com Respondent

Daniel Epstein Cause of Action daniel.epstein@causeofaction.org Respondent

Patrick Massari Counsel Cause of Action patrick.massari@causeofaction.org Respondent

Prashant Khetan

Senior Counsel Cause of Action prashant.khetan@causeofaction.org Respondent

Alain Sheer Federal Trade Commission asheer@ftc.gov Complaint

Laura Riposo VanDruff Federal Trade Commission lvandruff@ftc.gov Complaint

Megan Cox Federal Trade Commission mcox1@ftc.gov Complaint

Ryan Mehm Federal Trade Commission rmehm@ftc.gov Complaint

I hereby certify that on February 20, 2015, I filed via other means, as provided in 4.4(b) of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, with:

William Sherman, II Attorney Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP william.sherman@dinsmore.com Respondent

Jacquelyn Schell Attorney