

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

NETWORK SERVICES DEPOT, et al.,

Defendants.

2:05-cv-0440-LDG-LRL

ORDER

Plaintiff FTC has filed a motion for reconsideration of the court’s order granting defendants’ motion to approve attorney’s fees (#221) and a motion for an expedited hearing on its motion for reconsideration (#222). The FTC is correct that the court did not take into consideration its opposition to defendants’ motion to approve attorneys’ fees and costs in the amount of \$283,613.78 filed under protest (#180). Now that the court has been made aware of this oversight, it will grant the FTC’s motion to reconsider, and determine whether, in light of the FTC’s opposition, the court’s order granting defendants’ motion to approve fees (#221) should be modified. Furthermore, until that determination is complete, the FTC need not file its proposed final judgment. Accordingly,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that plaintiff FTC’s motion for reconsideration (#221) is GRANTED as set forth above.

///

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that plaintiff's motion for an expedited hearing on its motion for reconsideration (#222) is DENIED. The court will determine whether a hearing is necessary after its review of the FTC's opposition.

DATED this 18 day of September, 2008.


Lloyd D. George
United States District Judge