

I have a Platinum IBO with Quixtar for last 2 years. I've been with different groups under Quixtar umbrella over many years and I would like to provide my perspective on the following rules that FTC is considering.

1. The requirement of a 7-day waiting period:
 - a. The normal procedure of Quixtar IBO to start this business and register and start using the products first. Because the products proves the quality by itself and of itself, we promote self-use in the beginning right away. Without registration, **the usage rate will go down and my prospects' and my business will get hurt eventually.**
 - b. Also 7-day waiting period will not be any help for my prospect because the facts would not change during the 7 days. In fact it will be bad since **they lost 7 days of their business!**
2. The requirement to provide references:
 - a. I always give the right to my prospects to choose their sponsor. If I did my business correctly, the prospects will choose me even though the references are given. Also if this list is only among the same group, my sponsors will encourage my prospect to go with me, not them.
 - b. This becomes an issue when I sponsor someone a long distance where there's none of my group is doing business. We expand our business everywhere and it's bound to happen. I have no control over how other business/group is building it there and their reputation may hurt my credibility. I stand by my group and my company, Quixtar, **but it's not fair for me to represent even other group at Quixtar where I have no say in it, not to mention other .**
 - c. **Providing anyone's address and phone number with a name to anyone without my permission would be a violation of privacy. I would stand strongly against that!**
 - d. We introduce our uplines and groups at a home meeting. There's no need to provide a list of names beforehand. If the prospect is serious, they will come to the meeting. I just don't see how the list of references would help the prospects in building this business.
3. Requirement to provide a "Litigation List":
 - a. This business gives a great deal of freedom in building it. Since it's my business, I can run it any way I want. Having said that, there are individuals who don't go by the contract with Quixtar and end up harming others and get involved in the legal system. Because Quixtar is the largest of direct selling business, the probability is that there will be the most of amount of litigations, strictly based on the math. **Also not all litigation is with merit.** I find a lot of anti-Quixtar website are wrong and exaggerates small issues.
 - b. When you meet a new friend, you want to give a good impression, not a list of litigations that my distance business associates or neighbors are involved with. This removes a fair chance for them to get to know me.
4. The requirement for specific earnings disclosures:
 - a. Simply put, SA-4400 that Quixtar provides is enough! More details will complicate the business model and confuse the prospect.

- b. The earnings vary based on how your group is formed. It's very difficult to provide earnings figure with a scenario or a case how the groups are formed. I don't think it will be any of a value for the prospect. **SA-4400 of Quixtar explains well at a level that prospects can understand. If they want to know more, then they can and will ask!**
5. The requirement for financial substantiation:
- a. I don't give out my pay stub to anyone. I don't see why I should show my bonus check to my prospect. My life style shows what I am how much I make. If my prospect is smart and sincerely, they will see how much I make without asking. If they ask, I tell them an approximation that is aligned with what we show them during our business model presentation called STP. Usually my bonus check reflect very close to the scenario I show them at the plan. I think that's good enough.
 - b. Because earning with Quixtar is strictly based on the performance and leadership you provided, I don't see why we should disclose **our private information**. Unless all the privately owned business dot he same, I don't see a reason why we should – when we are honestly explaining this at the plan already.

I understand why FTC is considering these rules and the context in which these rules have come together. I do believe these rules will benefit prospects to rule out scams and pyramids.

I'm just concerned that this will also eliminate a chance for them to look into Quixtar business. Because I KNOW that Quixtar is an honest and fair business, I have to ask you considering not formalizing these rules with worries that these rules will reduce the opportunity to many people who need them, like me!