

June Zeringue
Independent Longaberger Branch Leader

July 17, 2006

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing this letter because I am concerned about the proposed Business Opportunity Rule R511993. I believe that in its present form, it could prevent me from continuing as a Longaberger Branch Leader. I understand that part of the FTC's responsibilities is to protect the public from "unfair and deceptive acts or practices," yet some of the sections in the proposed rule will make it very difficult, if not impossible, for me to sell Longaberger® products.

I have been a Longaberger Consultant for more than 8 years. Originally, I became a consultant in my company because I loved the products and I wanted to earn extra money for my family. In the last 8 years I have grown personally and professionally, rising to the ranks of Branch Leader and mentoring other women like myself. My family now depends on my Longaberger income for my children's education.

One of the most confusing and burdensome sections of the proposed rule is the seven-day waiting period to enroll new consultants. A Longaberger® business kit only costs \$399. People buy TVs, cars, and other items that cost much more and they do not have to wait seven days. This waiting period gives the impression that there might be something wrong with the company or the compensation plan. I also think this seven-day waiting period is unnecessary, because Longaberger already has a 100% buyback policy for all products including sales kits purchased by a salesperson within the last twelve months. Under this waiting period requirement, I will need to keep very detailed records when I first speak to someone about selling Longaberger and will then need to send in many reports to my company headquarters.

The proposed rule also calls for the release of **any** information regarding lawsuits involving misrepresentation, or unfair or deceptive practices. It does not matter if the company was found innocent. Today, anyone or any company can be sued for almost anything. It does not make sense to me that I would have to disclose these lawsuits unless Longaberger® is found guilty. Otherwise, Longaberger and I are put at an unfair advantage even though Longaberger has done **nothing** wrong.

Finally, the proposed rule requires the disclosure of a minimum of 10 prior purchasers nearest to the prospective purchaser. I am glad to provide references, but in this day of identity theft, I am very uncomfortable giving out the personal information of individuals (without their approval) to strangers. Also, giving away this information could damage the business relationship of the references who may be involved in other companies or businesses including those of competitors. In order to get the list of the 10 prior purchasers, I will need to send the address of the prospective purchaser to Longaberger headquarters and then wait for the list. I also think the following sentence required by the proposed rule will prevent many people from wanting to sign up as a salesperson - "If you buy a business

opportunity from the seller, your contact information can be disclosed in the future to other buyers.” People are very concerned about their privacy and identity theft. They will be reluctant to share their personal information with individuals they may have never met.

I appreciate the work that the FTC does to protect consumers, yet I believe this proposed new rule has many unintended consequences and there are less burdensome alternatives available to achieving your goals. This proposed rule will have a negative impact on thousands of direct sellers (primarily women) and their ability to make money.

Thank you for your time in considering my comments.

Respectfully,

June Zeringue
Independent Longaberger Branch Leader