



Vision Broadcasting

"The Donna Seebo Show"

KKNW 1150AM • www.1150KKNW.com
Streamed "live" on www.1150kknw.com
8-9 am Monday through Friday



Donna Seebo
Dba Delphi International

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

522418-70140



June 14, 2006

Federal Trade Commission
Office of the Secretary, Room H-135 (Annex W)
Re: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

Re: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993

Dear Sir or Madam:

In its present form, the newly proposed rule R511993 causes me concern and alarm. I have been a self employed small business person for the past nearly 30 years. My business is personal consulting. All of my business is very personal in nature and dependent upon personal interaction and networking.

Recently, I was introduced to and enrolled as a customer/distributor for the Mannatech, Inc. line of products. This enrollment has allowed me to augment my other income. This business is completely compatible with my other business.

Although I am certain that the FTC is proposing this new rule for the intended protection of U.S. consumers, there are several sections that are severely restrictive on those of us that are devoted to assisting our customers. Such provisions as:

1. A seven day waiting period,
2. Provision of a list of the most recent 10 enrollees, and
3. Disclosure of all litigation, whether won, lost, arbitrated, settled, or pending.

These burdens are not required of other businesses, and seem vastly unreasonable for several reasons as illustrated by these questions:

1. Can you imagine, having to wait 7 days for a hamburger?


2. Would you want to have your contact information available to the next 10 purchasers that follow you at Wal-Mart?
3. Does Boeing have to automatically provide a list of all litigation to every new customer or representative?

In addition to being selectively applied to those of us that make all or a part of our living as distributors of direct sales goods and services, they treat all of us as if we were out to defraud the consumer. Further, they penalize those who honestly want only to provide positive and excellent products and services the same as those that do not.

I am certain that there must be a better answer to protecting the public than putting such an enormous burden upon those of us are serving the public good. The cost in opportunity for the average citizen, including me, I believe will be significantly greater than the benefit of this proposed new rule. Please reconsider this avenue for attempting to protect the consumer, and perhaps increase the burden upon those that do intend to fraud the public as a possible deterrent.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,


Donna D. Seebo