


522418-70272

Leonard J. Sansone  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]



Federal Trade Commission  
Office of the Secretary  
Rm.-135 (Annex W)  
RE: Business Opportunity Rule R 511993  
600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.  
Washington, D.C.20580

Re: Business Opportunity, R511993

Mr. Secretary;

I'm writing this letter requesting you and your staff reconsider R511993 – Business Opportunity Rule. Your Business Opportunity Rule is very oppressive. It is not a rule you need to implement, as it will be harmful to my legitimate Business people.

My experience is acquired from Amway/Quixtar of 30 years and recently one month with Xango Corporation. I became associated with Xango Corporation to obtain the product wholesale. It has helped my health greatly.

You are wanting to add a 7-day rule and 10 day refunds near the prospective residency. My experience shows that both of these elements would cost you the FTC more hassle than it is worth.

You will find that many people in the modern day much like they did 30 years ago. Many people take two to three months to realize what they are in, when the awareness hits them, they wake up. They start to work more diligently. So this will affect the seven-day rule because people don't really get involved in their business for two or three months.

If your son or daughter graduated college and was going to go medical school would you send your child to talk to a medical physician who flunked medical school or one who posted flying colors and great marks?

Well, sending a prospect to speak with a refund recipient would be the same. My prospect wants to talk with a customer who is satisfied with my service and with a customer who is satisfied with services and products. By the way in 30 years of network marketing I have had 2 customers return a product. (That was back in 1991). So I am requesting you rethink R511993.

Sincerely,

A large, irregular black redaction mark covers the signature area, obscuring the name and any handwritten notes.

Leonard J. Sansone. Sr.