



**American Association for
Marriage and Family Therapy**

Advancing the Professional Interests
of Marriage and Family Therapists

112 South Alfred Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone: (703) 838-9808
Fax: (703) 838-9805
Website: www.aamft.org

August 18, 2004

Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

Re: American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy/ CAN-SPAM Act Rulemaking, Project No. R411008 addressing the definition and implementation of the Act as set forth in sections 3(2)c and 3(17)(B).

American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (AAMFT) is a national professional association with a membership of 23,000 Marriage and Family Therapists (MFTs) and MFT students. Marriage and Family Therapists are mental health professionals trained to diagnose and treat mental and emotional disorders. MFTs specialize in treating mental disorders in the context of marriage and family relationships. Marriage and Family Therapists work with the individual, couple or family to change behavioral patterns so that problems can be resolved.

Introduction. AAMFT believes that the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”) needs to clarify the applicability of the commercial electronic mail message (“CEM”) definition as it relates to tax exempt nonprofit organizations.¹ In particular, AAMFT requests that the Commission’s rule-making expressly provide that email transmitted by a tax exempt nonprofit organization primarily related to one or more of the organization’s duly authorized tax exempt nonprofit purposes not be considered CEM under the Act and, therefore, be specifically exempt from regulation under the Act.

The language of the Act defines “commercial electronic mail messages” as “any electronic mail message the primary purpose of which is the commercial advertisement or promotion of a commercial product or service . . .” This definition is clearly directed only at regulating activity undertaken primarily to further the commercial endeavors of for-profit businesses. Interpreting the CEM definition to include e-mail communications of organizations operating consistent with their tax exempt nonprofit purposes would be inconsistent with the plain language of the statute, as well as the intention of the Act.

In addition, AAMFT would like the Commission to specifically provide in the regulations that any e-mail transmitted by a tax exempt nonprofit organization to a current member or donor,

¹ Tax exempt nonprofit organizations comprise a discrete and unique class of entities in the United States. Nonprofit corporation status is granted by states under their nonprofit corporation laws to organizations that generally do not issue equity stock and do not seek commercial profit on behalf of shareholders. Federal income tax exempt status is granted by the Internal Revenue Service to organizations that are organized on a nonprofit basis, do not share revenues with individuals, and meet extensive IRS requirements in numerous categories.

regardless of its commercial content, is not subject to the Act because such member communications are “transactional or relationship” messages as defined in Section 3(17)(A) and (B) of the Act.

The primary purpose of tax exempt nonprofit e-mail communication is to provide information and resources to their members, and other constituencies consistent with their tax exempt nonprofit purposes, rather than to carry on a trade or business, which is the chief objective of for-profit taxable entities. Often these resources are provided for a reasonable fee that covers the organization’s costs of development, marketing, and distribution. As nonprofit organizations, however, all monies earned from activities undertaken consistent with an organization’s tax exempt nonprofit purposes must be used to further the organization’s tax exempt nonprofit work. Therefore, such e-mail communications should not be considered “commercial” even if they involve the marketing, promotion or sale of goods and services as long as the underlying communication is consistent with the organization’s tax exempt nonprofit purposes.

Clarification of the Term “Commercial Electronic Mail Message”. The regulations implementing the Act need to specifically address the special role and circumstances of tax exempt nonprofit organizations. If the Commission were to apply the “commercial electronic mail message” definition to tax exempt nonprofit organizations in the same manner it applies to the term to taxable for-profit entities, it will profoundly confuse, damage, and obstruct the good work of tax exempt nonprofit organizations of every kind- trade associations, professional societies, chambers of commerce, agricultural organizations, advocacy organizations, social welfare groups, charitable, educational, and scientific organizations, religious groups, and amateur sports organizations.²

All tax exempt nonprofit organizations have established, legitimate constituencies with whom the organizations routinely communicate through e-mail. These constituents might be dues-paying members, or others who have voluntarily associated themselves with the special tax exempt nonprofit missions of these organizations and desire to receive communications from them. AAMFT is deeply concerned and confused about the applicability of the Act to our organization. This uncertainty and confusion could be easily eliminated if the Commission simply clarifies the applicability of the definition of “commercial electronic mail messages.” Therefore, AAMFT urges to the Commission to recognize that the regulation implementing the Act should distinguish between the activities of tax exempt nonprofit organizations and the work of for-profit, commercial entities.

As stated above, the language of the Act defines “commercial electronic mail messages” as “any electronic mail message the primary purpose of which is the commercial advertisement or promotion of a commercial product or service . . .” This definition is clearly directed only at regulating activity undertaken primarily to further commercial endeavors of for-profit businesses. Interpreting the CEM definition to include e-mail communications of organizations operating consistent with their tax exempt nonprofit purposes would be inconsistent with the plain language of the statute, as well as the intention of the Act.

There simply is no reasonable basis for a broad application of the definition of regulated CEM to include tax exempt nonprofit organization e-mail communications that are consistent with its tax exempt nonprofit purposes but may also involve the marketing, promotion, and/or sale of related goods and services. For example, such messages could include:

² The American Society of Association Executives (ASAE) estimates that the number of all of these organizations is well over half a million.

- A professional society’s notice to dues-paying members reminding them to register for the society’s annual meeting;
- A seniors’ organization flyer offering subscriptions to publications addressing independent senior living;
- A trade association’s release about a new book title or educational seminar on the latest business challenges to members.

The regulations should emphasize that only truly commercial e-mail transmissions the “primary purpose of which is the commercial advertisement or promotion of a commercial product or service” (emphasis added.) are regulated under the Act.

Indeed if one interprets the Act to regulate e-mail communications of any kind with the primary purpose of promoting, marketing, or selling a product or good, it begs the question: If Congress intended to regulate all such e-mail communications, why then did Congress use the term “commercial” to describe the regulated e-mail. Clearly, use by Congress of the adjective “commercial” must have meaning and is intended to qualify and narrow the universe of e-mail messages regulated.

Congress plainly sought to narrow the CEM definition to limit regulation to genuinely commercial electronic mail messages. Review of the plain language of the statutory definition of –“commercial electronic mail message” – leads to the conclusion that regulated e-mail communications, by definition, must be motivated by an underlying commercial purpose or interest. Therefore, according to the Act’s definition of “commercial electronic mail message” if goods or services were being promoted, marketed or sold via e-mail by an organization consistent with its tax exempt and nonprofit purposes, then such e-mail communications, by definition, should not be considered to have the requisite primary “commercial” purpose.

An analysis of whether an e-mail communication has the required primary commercial purpose, and would therefore be prohibited, must examine the rationale for the activity, not simply whether the mechanics of the activity involve the purchase or sale of goods, or services or an exchange of consideration. In this regard, while the identity of the sender may be important, it is not necessarily determinative. The most relevant criterion in determining if the e-mail communication is regulated CEM with the required primary commercial purpose is the rationale underlying the e-mail communication, regardless of whether communication’s content advertises or promotes a product or service. If the reason for the e-mail communication is to further the legitimate tax exempt nonprofit purposes of the organization then the communication should not be considered CEM.

Further, it is well established, indeed embedded, in both federal and state law that tax exempt nonprofit organizations are organized and operated to conduct their activities in ways fundamentally different from the ways in which taxable for-profit businesses conduct their activities. For example, each state’s statutory framework treats tax exempt nonprofit organizations differently, if not entirely separately, from the treatment of for-profit, taxable businesses. Likewise, the Internal Revenue Code treats tax exempt organizations differently from taxable entities and relies on an entirely separate and distinct section of the law to do so. Indeed, with one exception, the word *commercial* does not even appear in the federal statutory law regulating tax exempt organizations.³

³ Internal Revenue Code, Section 501(m).

Moreover, the Internal Revenue Code and corresponding regulations provide separate treatment to commercial-type business activities of tax exempt nonprofit organizations. When such an organization conducts business activities on a regular basis and those activities are not substantially related to the purposes for which the organization was granted tax exempt status (i.e., the unrelated business income tax or “UBIT” rules), the organization is subject to taxation on its net return and, if those activities are substantial, is at risk of losing tax exemption altogether. Application of the UBIT rules turn on whether the tax exempt nonprofit organization’s activity is consistent with, or substantially related to, its tax exempt nonprofit purposes.

Therefore, the Commission should be comfortable drafting regulations clarifying that the definition of regulated e-mail – “commercial electronic mail messages” – is not applicable to tax exempt nonprofit organizations when, and to the extent that, they are pursuing their tax exempt nonprofit purposes regardless of the content of the e-mail message. Particularly given the risk of litigation, which represents a disproportionate burden on nonprofit organizations, the Commission must exercise its authority to clarify and limit the application of commercial e-mail by expressly incorporating into the regulations language that distinguishing e-mails from a tax exempt nonprofit organization that relate to one or more of the organization’s duly authorized tax exempt nonprofit purposes.

Transactional and Relationship Messages. AAMFT recognizes, however, that the Act may apply to a certain class of tax exempt nonprofit organization e-mails, even if the member or donor expects this information as part of their membership or relationship to the organization. If a nonprofit organization were to transmit e-mails to members or donors, either directly or through a for-profit taxable business subsidiary, relating to an activity that is not substantially related to the organization’s tax exempt nonprofit purposes under federal tax exemption nonprofit requirements, then such e-mail might fall within the jurisdiction of the Act.

Notwithstanding the Act’s possible jurisdiction over such e-mail communications, AAMFT urges the Commission to reflect in its regulations that such communications, as long as they are sent to current members should be excluded from the definition of “commercial electronic mail message” as transactional or relationship messages because they either provide information in connection with an organization or association membership and/or are intended to deliver goods and services under the terms of an existing transaction, *i.e.*, the e-mail recipient’s current member or donor relationship with the sending organization. Because purely commercial messages, such as offers to buy extended warranties or insurance protections, to one-time customers of commercial entities, qualify as transactional or relationship messages, it would be unjust if this same treatment were not extended to electronic mail messages of similar content sent to current members of tax exempt nonprofit organizations.

Conclusion. AAMFT urges the Commission to clarify that the definition of "commercial electronic mail messages" is directed at regulating activity that primarily furthers the commercial endeavors of taxable for-profit businesses. In this regard, the regulations implementing the Act should specifically provide that the e-mail communications of tax exempt nonprofit organizations that are consistent with their tax exempt nonprofit purpose are by definition not primarily commercial and therefore do not fall within the definition of regulated CEM. Additionally, the regulations should clarify that even where e-mail communications from tax exempt nonprofit organizations sent to current members are primarily commercial and not related to the organization’s tax exempt nonprofit purposes, they should be excluded from the CEM definition as transactional or relationship messages because such

communications are primarily intended to provide information in connection with an organization or association membership and/or deliver goods and services under the terms of an existing member relationship.

Sincerely,

David Bergman, JD
Director, Legal and Government Affairs