802.1 (c)

From:

To:

"mvame@nc.gov - чтостоельедог

Date: Thu, Oct 12, 2000 1:47 PM Subject: FW: HSR interprelation

One last follow-up. The parties have restructured the transaction so that now A will be merged with and into B. Since neither A nor B is a \$100 person, there is no filing obligation for the underlying merger. Z, a shareholder of A will get in excess of \$15 million of B's shares as a consequence of the merger. Z is a \$100 million person and will have a board seat in the merged company. Another shareholder X, holds shares of both A and B, and as a consequence of the Merger will hold shares of B valued in excess of \$15 million. (Premerger X's shares of B were worth about \$3 million) Shareholder X is also a \$100 million person and will have a board seat in the merged company.

If thus appears that both X and Z will be required to file as acquiring persons and B will file as the acquired person. As discussed on Tuesday, if this were a consolidation, B's filing would need to include information from both A and B. Is the rationale the same even though this is now structured as a merger?

(**There will be no filing for the underlying merger**)

Also - Do you have any intelligence on when the new thresholds and filing fees will be pessed? I heard a rumor yesterday that it could happen as soon as next week. Thanks.

> ---Original Message > From: > Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2000 9:13 AM > To: 'mverne@fic.gov' > Cc: FW: HSR interpretation > Subject. > Thanks for your response. As a follow-up, it appears that shareholders of > both A and B will have a filing obligation as they will acquire in excess > of \$15 million of C's voting securities, and will not be able to take > advantage of the investment only exemption. Would C have to file as an acquired person (since 802.41 would not be applicable) and if so how would > that work since it is not yet in existence? Thanks. >----Original L > From: > Sent: Monday, October 09, 2000 11:23 AM > To: > Cc: > Subject: **HSR** interpretation > Hi Mike - I would appreciate your guidance on the following fact pattern: > Facts: A and B wish to engage in a business combination. A will be > merged with and into B and shareholders of A and B will be given shares of > C - the consolidated entity. Neither A nor B are \$100 million persons, but > C will have in excess of \$100 after the consolidation.

ADVISED THE WAITE THAT
IN A MAKEN, B
WOULD FILE ONLY WITH
RESPECT TO ITT OPERATIONS
AND WOULD NOT INCLUDE

B. Methological 10 (13100

- > Issue: I believe there would be no filing obligation for the
- > consolidation as there is no \$100 person. Assuming however, that
- > shareholders of A and B are \$10 million persons, and the investment only
- > exemption is not available, would shareholders of A and or B have a filing
- > obligation in their acquisition of shares of C?
- > Thanks.



NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

CC: