

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

TO: Patrick Sharpe

FROM: [REDACTED]

COMPANY: Federal Trade Commission  
Premerger Notification Office

DATE: May 22, 1998

FAX NO.: 202 326-2624

TIME:

MAIN NO.: 202 326-2848

NO. OF PAGES: 4 (including this page)

If you do not receive all the pages, please telephone [REDACTED] immediately.

MESSAGE:

202 326 2624

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT NAMED ABOVE.

This transmittal may be a confidential attorney-client communication or may otherwise be privileged and confidential. If the reader of this transmittal is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this transmittal in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this transmittal is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (call us collect at [REDACTED]) and return the original transmittal to us by mail. Thank you.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

05/22/95

15:29

[REDACTED]

602,21

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

May 22, 1995

VIA FACSIMILE

Mr. Patrick Sharpe  
Compliance Specialist  
Federal Trade Commission  
Premerger Notification Office  
6<sup>th</sup> and Pennsylvania Avenues, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20580

Re: Nonreportability of  
Transaction Under HSR Act

Dear Patrick:

This letter will confirm our telephone conversation last week in which you indicated that the following transaction would not be reportable under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act ("HSR Act"), as codified at 15 U.S.C. § 18a, and the rules promulgated thereunder:

Company A, which is its own ultimate parent entity for HSR Act purposes, is merging with Company B, which is also its own parent entity.<sup>1</sup> Company C, which is its own ultimate parent entity, currently owns approximately 46.5% of the common voting securities of Company A. As a result of the

<sup>1</sup> A HSR filing will be made by Company A and Company B with respect to the merger. *lok*

Mr. Patrick Sharpe  
May 22, 1998  
Page 2

merger, Company C's holdings of the voting securities of Company A would be approximately 24.6%. At the same closing as the merger (but, for a variety of business reasons, immediately following the merger), Company C will purchase from Company D, which is its own ultimate parent entity, approximately 18% of the voting securities of Company A. The occurrence of the merger and stock purchase are conditioned upon each other occurring. After the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, Company C will hold 34.2% of the voting securities of Company A.

Company A and Company C filed HSR notifications in October 1994 for meeting or exceeding the 25% threshold, in contemplation of Company C, through open market stock purchases, increasing its holdings of Company A common voting securities from 46.5% to up to, but not meeting or exceeding 50%.<sup>4</sup> Company C did not make these purchases, however.

agreed

As we discussed, even though Company C did not acquire any additional voting securities in connection with the October 1994 HSR notification following the termination of the pertinent HSR waiting period, given Company A's ownership of 46.5% of Company A's voting securities at such time, Company C automatically satisfied 16 C.F.R. § 802.21 for purchases of up to, but not meeting or exceeding, 50% of Company's A voting securities. Under 16 C.F.R. § 802.21 Company C has 5 years to complete the acquisition of voting securities up to, but not meeting or exceeding, the 50% threshold from the date upon which the HSR waiting period expired or terminated in connection with the October 1994 HSR notification. Accordingly, so long as Company C acquires the voting securities described above from Company D, within such 5-year time period, no HSR filing would be required for the transaction.

agree

<sup>4</sup> Company C had been Company A's ultimate parent entity from its inception until the early 1990s, when Company C arranged for an initial public offering ("IPO") of Company A, which IPO decreased Company's C's holdings by Company A voting securities to the 46.5% level.

called [redacted] 5/22/98. I concur.

25/22/98 15:30

DBc

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Mr. Patrick Sharpe  
May 22, 1998  
Page 3

Please let me know immediately if I have misunderstood your analysis or your conclusions regarding the above described transaction. As always, I appreciate your very valuable assistance in these matters.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]