

- c. JX1, among other Exhibits, was admitted into evidence at the July 16, 2024 evidentiary hearing.
 - d. Appellant’s objection to “e.g., JX1 at 674, 675, 677, 678, 682, 690, 692” – is untimely and, on its face unspecific as to the parts of JX1 sought to be objected to, as well as inconsistent with Appellant’s agreement in JX2.
 - e. Furthermore, HISA Rule 1.146(a)(1) provides that, “[e]xcept for good cause shown, no assignment of error by the aggrieved party may rely on any question of fact or law not presented to the Authority.” No objection to any of the photos in JX1 was interposed during the arbitration or at any point prior to Appellant seeking to assert one in the Joint Stipulation. Appellant has failed to show “good cause” for her belated objection.
2. The objection Appellant seeks to assert in the Joint Stipulation goes, at best, to the weight of any photo or video listed in the Joint Stipulation or contained in JX1, and may be so argued, if appropriate and Appellant sees fit, in her post-hearing briefs.

ORDERED:

Jay L. Himes

Jay L. Himes
Administrative Law Judge

Date: July 30, 2024